

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,987	08/04/2003	Bulent Aliev	1383.01	2985
7.	590 06/08/2005	EXAMINER		
	SILVERMAN & ASS	MILLER, CARL STUART		
SUITE 500 500 WEST CYPRESS CREEK ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33309			3747	

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

abla	`√	)
ン	0	•

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurred	10/632,987	ALIEV, BULENT					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carl S. Miller	3747					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 March 2005</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.		-					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4 is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	·					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/632,987

Art Unit: 3747

As a preliminary matter the applicant should note that certain problems still exist regarding the language of Claim 1. In particular, claim 1 uses the word "periods" in line 13 which should read –period--, and in line 17 "is" has been inserted but the word "are" has not been deleted from the Claim.

Finally, in claim 5, "oil change circuit drain" should be changed to - - oil drain- -.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts in view of Sundles.

Roberts teaches all of the limitations of these claims except the pressure relief line upstream of the "normally open" check valve (44).

Sundles teaches a pressure relief valve (25) in a return line (23) which is located upstream of a check valve (21) from the auxiliary oil pump.

Because both systems teaches a "circuit for an auxiliary oil pump used to prelubricate engine parts, it would have been obvious to modify Roberts by locating a pressure relief valve in the circuit and locating it as taught by Sundles.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts and Sundles as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Burda.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,987

Art Unit: 3747

Burda teaches that it is known to protect electrically driven oil pumps from overloads using circuit breakers. Such breakers are normally manually reset, thereby making such a protection obvious to use to protect the auxiliary pump of Roberts.

Claims 3, 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's arguments filed March 7, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular, the applicant argues limitations that do not appear in the rejected Claims 1, 2 and 4. For example, the manual shutoff valve is not specifically claimed in the rejected claims noted. Also, the "solution...... for handling an overpressure condition" is not claimed in the rejected claims nor is an ability to manually change the oil. Some of these features result from limitations contained in Claims 3, 5 and 6 and these claims have now been objected to for this reason. Finally, while Sundles does not teach the external circuit of the claims its application has been limited to showing the location for the pressure relief valve in a pump circuit compatible with the pump circuit of Roberts, while Roberts teaches the external circuit of the Claims.

Since the reference to Burda has been applied to replace the teaching of Biess and this action was not precipitated by applicant's amendment, this action has been made non-final.

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-4849. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen, can be reached at 571-272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Carl S, Miller Primary Examiner